

Black hole absorption cross-sections and the anti-de Sitter–conformal field theory correspondence

Edward Teo

*Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge,
Silver Street, Cambridge CB3 9EW, England*

and

Department of Physics, National University of Singapore, Singapore 119260

Abstract

Recent work has uncovered a correspondence between theories in anti-de Sitter space, and those on its boundary. This has important implications for black holes in string theory which have near-horizon AdS geometries. Using the effective coupling to the boundary conformal field theory, I compute the low-energy, s -wave absorption cross-sections for a minimally coupled scalar in the near-extremal four- and five-dimensional black holes. The results agree precisely with semi-classical gravity calculations. Agreement for fixed scalars, and for the BTZ black hole, is also found.

One rather surprising result to have emerged from the study of certain higher-dimensional black holes and p -branes in string theory, is that their near-horizon geometries have the structure of anti-de Sitter space [1,2]. This (and other) facts have lead Maldacena to conjecture that the so-called large N limit of a conformally invariant theory in d dimensions is equivalent to string theory on $d+1$ -dimensional anti-de Sitter space AdS_{d+1} , times a sphere of constant radius [3]. Taking this limit decouples the near-horizon region from the asymptotic Minkowski space, and effectively restricts one's attention to the former.

Subsequent work by Gubser *et al.* [4] and Witten [5] have made this relationship more precise. Their key insight is to put the d -dimensional theory on the *boundary* of AdS_{d+1} . While the latter has a metric invariant under $\text{SO}(2, d)$, its boundary has only a conformal structure preserved under this group. Thus, the boundary theory has to be a conformal field theory. The link between the bulk and boundary theories, lies in the fact that a dynamical field (which may be a scalar, gauge field or graviton) in AdS, is completely specified by its boundary value [5]. Supposing that the partition functions of the two theories are equal: $Z_{\text{AdS}} = Z_{\text{CFT}}$, correlation functions of the latter can then be computed from the bulk theory. This is the essence of the AdS–CFT correspondence.

In [4], this boundary was taken to be a sphere of radius R equal to the AdS radius of curvature, but in [5], the infinite boundary of (Euclidean) AdS space was used. In the context of black holes however, the geometry is only AdS near the horizon. For radii larger than R , Minkowski space is recovered. It is for this reason that I shall follow [4] in choosing the boundary to be at R rather than infinity. This also bypasses the problem of fields which have infinite asymptotic values.

In this paper, I shall show how the AdS–CFT correspondence can be used to derive low-energy black hole absorption cross-sections. Specifically, I consider minimally coupled and fixed scalars propagating in the near-extremal four- and five-dimensional black holes of string theory. These are cases which have been extensively studied in the past, particularly with respect to their corresponding D-brane configurations. In [6,7], it was found that absorption and decay rates obtained using D-branes agree exactly with semi-classical gravity calculations. Much work has since been devoted to finding an effective-string picture of D-branes that would model black hole scattering [8–12].

This was carried one step further by Maldacena and Strominger [13], who argued that an effective CFT, not necessarily connected to string theory, is able to describe the absorption of scalars by general black holes. Although this effective CFT description can reproduce the energy dependence of the (low-energy) absorption cross-section, there was

no known way to fix the normalization factors and other details, without appealing to say, string theory. The purpose of this paper, is to advocate that this effective theory is precisely the boundary CFT of the corresponding near-horizon AdS space. As evidence for this, I shall derive the cross-sections for the above-mentioned cases using the effective coupling to the boundary CFT, and show that they agree with semi-classical calculations.

The D3-brane is another solution which admits a near-horizon AdS structure [3], and the super-Yang–Mills theory defined on its boundary has been the subject of much recent study. It was shown in [4] that the two-point functions obtained from the latter give the correct semi-classical, s -wave absorption cross-section [14,10,15]. Furthermore, the authors of [16] have computed higher-order corrections to it in both pictures. These results, together with those presented here for the four- and five-dimensional black holes, point to the central rôle of the AdS boundary in determining the physics of the scattering process.

We shall begin by reviewing the AdS–CFT correspondence, in the form described in [4]. The metric for AdS_{d+1} can be written as

$$ds^2 = \frac{R^2}{z^2} (-dt^2 + dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_{d-1}^2 + dz^2), \quad (1)$$

where R is its radius of curvature. In this representation, AdS_{d+1} is the upper-half space $z > 0$. We take the boundary to be at $z = R$, enclosing the region $z > R$ of interest.

The action for a scalar field ϕ , with mass m , in this space is

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-g} (g^{ab} \nabla_a \phi \nabla_b \phi + m^2 \phi^2). \quad (2)$$

Any solution to the field equation is completely determined by its behavior on the boundary, and it is possible to construct a Green’s function relating the bulk field ϕ to its boundary value ϕ_0 . S can then be evaluated as a surface integral in terms of ϕ_0 [4] (see also the Appendix of [17]).

Suppose that, in the boundary CFT, ϕ_0 is the source for a local field $\mathcal{O}$ with conformal dimension Δ :

$$S_{\text{int}} = \int d\mathbf{x} \phi_0(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{x} \equiv (t, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$. The two-point function for $\mathcal{O}$ can then be deduced from S to be

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{y}) \rangle = \frac{2\Delta - d}{\pi^{d/2} \Delta} \frac{\Gamma(\Delta + 1)}{\Gamma(\Delta - d/2)} \frac{R^{2\Delta - d - 1}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{2\Delta}}, \quad (4)$$

where $\Delta = d + \lambda_+$, with λ_+ being the larger root of $\lambda(\lambda + d) = (mR)^2$. Thus, the dimension of $\mathcal{O}$ is determined by the mass of ϕ . The same result (4) is obtained from Witten's approach [5], provided one restores R explicitly, and includes the extra factor found in [17].

We first consider the near-extremal five-dimensional black hole of Type IIB string theory. The ten-dimensional form of the metric is [18,8]

$$ds^2 = (H_1 H_5)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[-dt^2 + dx^2 + \frac{r_0^2}{r^2} (\cosh \sigma dt + \sinh \sigma dx)^2 + H_1 \sum_{i=6}^9 dx_i^2 \right] \\ + (H_1 H_5)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\left(1 - \frac{r_0^2}{r^2} \right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_3^2 \right], \quad (5)$$

where x is periodically identified with length $2\pi R'$. $x_6, \dots, x_9$ are also compact coordinates, but play no rôle here and will be dropped. Furthermore,

$$H_1 = 1 + \frac{r_1^2}{r^2}, \quad H_5 = 1 + \frac{r_5^2}{r^2}. \quad (6)$$

r_0 is the extremality parameter, while r_1 , r_5 , and $r_k \equiv r_0 \sinh \sigma$ are related to the charges of the black hole. The dilute-gas approximation that will be assumed corresponds to $r_0, r_k \ll r_1, r_5$ [8]. It is convenient to define the so-called left- and right-temperatures:

$$T_L = \frac{r_0}{2\pi r_1 r_5} e^\sigma, \quad T_R = \frac{r_0}{2\pi r_1 r_5} e^{-\sigma}, \quad (7)$$

in which case the Hawking temperature of the black hole is given by

$$\frac{2}{T_H} = \frac{1}{T_L} + \frac{1}{T_R}. \quad (8)$$

The absorption cross-section for a minimally coupled scalar in the s -wave has been calculated semi-classically to be [8]

$$\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 8\pi^3 (r_1 r_5)^2 \omega^{-1} T_L T_R \sinh \left(\frac{\omega}{2T_H} \right) \left| \Gamma \left(1 + i \frac{\omega}{4\pi T_L} \right) \Gamma \left(1 + i \frac{\omega}{4\pi T_R} \right) \right|^2. \quad (9)$$

This is valid if the energy ω of the incoming particle satisfies $\omega r_1, \omega r_5 \ll 1$.

To see the near-horizon AdS structure of the black hole, we have to take the decoupling limit as explained in [3] (which is consistent with the dilute-gas approximation assumed

above). This essentially means we ignore the 1's in the harmonic functions (6),¹ so that (5) becomes

$$ds^2 = \frac{r^2}{R^2}(-dt^2 + dx^2) + \frac{r_0^2}{R^2}(\cosh \sigma dt + \sinh \sigma dx)^2 + \frac{R^2}{r^2 - r_0^2}dr^2 + R^2 d\Omega_3^2, \quad (10)$$

where $R^2 \equiv r_1 r_5$. It was explicitly shown in [19–21] that the (t, x, r) part of this metric is locally AdS, and in fact equivalent to the three-dimensional BTZ black hole [22]. However, we shall use a different change of variables, valid for large $r \gg r_0$. In this case, the second term in the metric (10) can be neglected in comparison to the first. Defining the new radial coordinate $z = R^2/r$, we obtain

$$ds^2 = \frac{R^2}{z^2}(-dt^2 + dx^2 + dz^2) + R^2 d\Omega_3^2. \quad (11)$$

This is of the form (1), times a sphere. The advantage of this representation is that the boundary $z = R$ of the AdS space is clearly flat, although x (and t in the Euclidean regime) is periodic.

Now, it is well-known that the field equation for a minimal scalar ϕ in the l^{th} partial wave, reduces to the hypergeometric equation near the black hole horizon [9,13]. This is but the field equation for a scalar on AdS_3 , with effective mass-squared $m^2 = l(l+2)/R^2$ [23]. It follows that ϕ couples to an operator $\mathcal{O}$ in the boundary CFT with conformal dimension $\Delta = 2 + l$.

Let us consider the absorption of a quantum of ϕ with energy ω , mediated by the interaction (3). We assume that $\mathcal{O}(t, x) = \mathcal{O}_+(t+x)\mathcal{O}_-(t-x)$, where $\mathcal{O}_+$ and $\mathcal{O}_-$ are primary fields of dimensions h_L and h_R respectively. Of course, we are primarily interested in the case $h_L = h_R = \Delta/2$, but it is useful to be general at this stage. The absorption cross-section is given by [24,15]

$$\sigma_{\text{abs}} = \frac{2\pi R'}{2\omega} \int d^2x e^{ip \cdot x} \{ \mathcal{G}(t - i\epsilon, x) - \mathcal{G}(t + i\epsilon, x) \}, \quad (12)$$

where $\mathcal{G}(t, x) = \langle \mathcal{O}^\dagger(t, x) \mathcal{O}(0, 0) \rangle$ is the thermal Green's function defined in imaginary time. The latter is determined by the singularity structure of $\mathcal{O}_\pm$ in the complex plane:

$$\mathcal{O}_+(\bar{z})\mathcal{O}_+^\dagger(\bar{w}) \sim \frac{C_{\mathcal{O}_+}}{(\bar{z} - \bar{w})^{2h_L}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_-(z)\mathcal{O}_-^\dagger(w) \sim \frac{C_{\mathcal{O}_-}}{(z - w)^{2h_R}}, \quad (13)$$

¹ A different approach was used in [19,20], whereby the 1's are removed by a certain coordinate transformation.

as well as the periodicity in imaginary time [13,24]. It can be checked that the cross-section becomes [24]

$$\sigma_{\text{abs}} = \frac{\pi R' C_{\mathcal{O}}}{\omega} \frac{(2\pi T_L)^{2h_L-1} (2\pi T_R)^{2h_R-1}}{\Gamma(2h_L)\Gamma(2h_R)} \sinh\left(\frac{\omega}{2T_H}\right) \times \left| \Gamma\left(h_L + i\frac{\omega}{4\pi T_L}\right) \Gamma\left(h_R + i\frac{\omega}{4\pi T_R}\right) \right|^2, \quad (14)$$

where $C_{\mathcal{O}} \equiv C_{\mathcal{O}_+} C_{\mathcal{O}_-}$. T_L and T_R are temperatures of the left- and right-moving excitations respectively, with T_H given by (8). The validity of (14) depends on $h_L + h_R$ being an integer, which is true for our case. The reader is referred to [24] for more details.

We now specialize to the massless case, corresponding to s -wave scattering in the five-dimensional space-time. $C_{\mathcal{O}}$ can be read off from (4) to be $2R/\pi$, whence (14) becomes

$$\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 8\pi^2 R R' \omega^{-1} T_L T_R \sinh\left(\frac{\omega}{2T_H}\right) \left| \Gamma\left(1 + i\frac{\omega}{4\pi T_L}\right) \Gamma\left(1 + i\frac{\omega}{4\pi T_R}\right) \right|^2. \quad (15)$$

When $R' = R$, this is precisely the cross-section for a minimally coupled scalar in the BTZ black hole [25]! Recall that R' is the radius of the sixth dimension x in (5). If we consider the BTZ black hole in its own right, as in [25], then R' has no particular significance and can be absorbed into its mass. Indeed, the angular coordinate φ in the usual form of the black hole [22], is related to x by $\varphi = x/R$ [19,20]. Demanding that it has canonical period 2π but corresponds to setting $R' = R$.

To get the five-dimensional cross-section, we have to multiply (15) by the area of the three-sphere $2\pi^2 R^3$, and divide by the circumference of the circle $2\pi R'$; as obtained when going up to six dimensions and back down to five. It is readily seen that (9) is recovered. Note that R' cancels out.

A more exacting test would be for massive fields. Happily, such a case is provided by the non-minimally coupled fixed scalar, whose s -wave absorption cross-section was calculated in [11] for the black hole (5). The effective mass-squared of this scalar in the AdS space is $8/R^2$, so it couples to an operator of conformal dimension $\Delta = 4$ in the boundary CFT. The cross-section (14) becomes, after using the fact that $C_{\mathcal{O}} = 18R^5/\pi$, and multiplying by the area $\pi R^3/R'$,

$$\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 32\pi^7 R^8 \omega^{-1} (T_L T_R)^3 \sinh\left(\frac{\omega}{2T_H}\right) \left| \Gamma\left(2 + i\frac{\omega}{4\pi T_L}\right) \Gamma\left(2 + i\frac{\omega}{4\pi T_R}\right) \right|^2. \quad (16)$$

This result was obtained in [11,26], by a semi-classical as well as D-brane calculation (with $r_1 = r_5$ assumed for technical simplicity).

The case of the near-extremal four-dimensional black hole is similar. It arises from a configuration of three intersecting M-theory 5-branes, whose 11-dimensional metric is [27]

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & (H_1 H_2 H_3)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \left[-dt^2 + dx^2 + \frac{r_0}{r} (\cosh \sigma dt + \sinh \sigma dx)^2 \right. \\ & \left. + H_1 (dx_4^2 + dx_5^2) + H_2 (dx_6^2 + dx_7^2) + H_3 (dx_8^2 + dx_9^2) \right] \\ & + (H_1 H_2 H_3)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left[\left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

x is the 11th dimension, periodically identified with length $2\pi R'$. $x_4, \dots, x_9$ are compact coordinates which we may ignore. The harmonic functions are given by $H_i = 1 + r_i/r$, where r_1, r_2, r_3 , and $r_4 \equiv r_0 \sinh^2 \sigma$ characterize the charges of the black hole. As usual, we assume the dilute-gas approximation: $r_0, r_4 \ll r_1, r_2, r_3$. The left- and right-temperatures of the black hole are

$$T_L = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{r_0}{r_1 r_2 r_3}} e^\sigma, \quad T_R = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{r_0}{r_1 r_2 r_3}} e^{-\sigma}, \quad (18)$$

and its low-energy, s -wave absorption cross-section is [28]

$$\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 32\pi^2 r_1 r_2 r_3 \omega^{-1} T_L T_R \sinh \left(\frac{\omega}{2T_H} \right) \left| \Gamma \left(1 + i \frac{\omega}{4\pi T_L} \right) \Gamma \left(1 + i \frac{\omega}{4\pi T_R} \right) \right|^2, \quad (19)$$

for the case of minimal scalars.

When the decoupling limit is taken, (17) reduces to the BTZ black hole with radius of curvature $R = 2(r_1 r_2 r_3)^{\frac{1}{3}}$, times a two-sphere of radius $R/2$ [19,20,29]. The effective CFT calculation is thus identical to the five-dimensional case, except that we have to multiply by $R^2/2R'$ in going from three to four dimensions [29]. Indeed, (19) is recovered from (15).

The fixed scalar in this black hole again has an effective mass-squared $8/R^2$ [11], and so $\Delta = 4$. Repeating the by-now familiar procedure, we find the CFT predicts a cross-section

$$\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 16\pi^6 R^7 \omega^{-1} (T_L T_R)^3 \sinh \left(\frac{\omega}{2T_H} \right) \left| \Gamma \left(2 + i \frac{\omega}{4\pi T_L} \right) \Gamma \left(2 + i \frac{\omega}{4\pi T_R} \right) \right|^2, \quad (20)$$

in agreement with the result of [26] (where $r_1 = r_2 = r_3$ was assumed).

We have therefore seen that the boundary CFT is able to reproduce the low-energy absorption cross-sections of black holes in a few important cases. The physical interpretation

of this should be clear [4]: particles coming in from infinity would encounter a geometry that approximates AdS space from radius R onwards, and any subsequent evolution is encoded in this boundary. What is perhaps less obvious is the rôle of the sixth (or 11th) dimension, which provides the effective length-scale of the CFT. Although R' disappears in the final cross-sections, it may still be relevant in the ten- (or 11-) dimensional context. Ref. [18] contains a discussion on how R' affects the physics from the D-brane point of view.

It would be of interest to extend these results to higher partial waves. Since they are not spherically symmetric, there would be a non-trivial variation over the two- or three-sphere which must be taken into account.² Also notice that we have not used any knowledge from string theory in the above calculations; all that was assumed was a scalar propagating in the space-time. A question which then follows is, how universal are these results? Indeed, it was pointed out in [23] that the minimal scalar absorption cross-sections for black holes and p -branes in diverse dimensions share the CFT structure of (14). The near-horizon AdS geometry of these objects should probably explain it.

² Nevertheless, a quick calculation shows that the boundary CFT above gives an answer which is tantalizingly close to the semi-classical result [9,13,12].

References

- [1] G.W. Gibbons and P.K. Townsend, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3754 (1993) [hep-th/9307049](#).
- [2] G.W. Gibbons, G.T. Horowitz, and P.K. Townsend, Class. Quantum Grav. **12**, 297 (1995) [hep-th/9410073](#).
- [3] J. Maldacena, ‘The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,’ [hep-th/9711200](#).
- [4] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov, and A.M. Polyakov, ‘Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory,’ [hep-th/9802109](#).
- [5] E. Witten, ‘Anti de Sitter space and holography,’ [hep-th/9802150](#).
- [6] S.R. Das and S.D. Mathur, Nucl. Phys. **B478**, 561 (1996) [hep-th/9606185](#).
- [7] S.R. Das and S.D. Mathur, Nucl. Phys. **B482**, 153 (1996) [hep-th/9607149](#).
- [8] J. Maldacena and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 861 (1997) [hep-th/9609026](#).
- [9] I.R. Klebanov and S.D. Mathur, Nucl. Phys. **B500**, 115 (1997) [hep-th/9701187](#).
- [10] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov, and A.A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B499**, 217 (1997) [hep-th/9703040](#).
- [11] C.G. Callan, S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov, and A.A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B489**, 65 (1997) [hep-th/9610172](#).
- [12] S.S. Gubser, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 4984 (1997) [hep-th/9704195](#).
- [13] J. Maldacena and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 4975 (1997) [hep-th/9702015](#).
- [14] I.R. Klebanov, Nucl. Phys. **B496**, 231 (1997) [hep-th/9702076](#).
- [15] S.S. Gubser and I.R. Klebanov, Phys. Lett. B **413**, 41 (1997) [hep-th/9708005](#).
- [16] S.S. Gubser, A. Hashimoto, I.R. Klebanov, and M. Krasnitz, ‘Scalar absorption and the breaking of the world volume conformal invariance,’ [hep-th/9803023](#).
- [17] D.Z. Freedman, S.D. Mathur, A. Matusis, and L. Rastelli, ‘Correlation functions in the CFT_d/AdS_{d+1} correspondence,’ [hep-th/9804058](#).
- [18] G.T. Horowitz, J.M. Maldacena, and A. Strominger, Phys. Lett. B **383**, 151 (1996) [hep-th/9603109](#).
- [19] S. Hyun, ‘U-duality between three and higher dimensional black holes,’ [hep-th/9704005](#).
- [20] K. Sfetsos and K. Skenderis, Nucl. Phys. **B517**, 179 (1998) [hep-th/9711138](#).
- [21] J. Maldacena and A. Strominger, ‘ AdS_3 black holes and a stringy exclusion principle,’ [hep-th/9804085](#).
- [22] M. Bañados, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1849 (1992) [hep-th/9204099](#).
- [23] Y. Satoh, ‘Propagation of scalars in non-extremal black hole and black p -brane geometries,’ [hep-th/9801125](#).
- [24] S.S. Gubser, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 7854 (1997) [hep-th/9706100](#).
- [25] D. Birmingham, I. Sachs, and S. Sen, Phys. Lett. B **413**, 281 (1997) [hep-th/9707188](#).

- [26] I.R. Klebanov and M. Krasnitz, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 3250 (1997) [hep-th/9612051](#).
- [27] M. Cvetič and A.A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B478**, 181 (1996) [hep-th/9606033](#).
- [28] S.S. Gubser and I.R. Klebanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4491 (1996) [hep-th/9609076](#).
- [29] V. Balasubramanian and F. Larsen, ‘Near horizon geometry and black holes in four dimensions,’ [hep-th/9802198](#).